

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,646	09/27/2006	Hai Zhang	11005,0263-00	1623
97664 Huawei Techn	7590 12/22/201 ologies Co., Ltd./Finne	EXAMINER		
901 New York		NGO, CHUONG A		
NW Washington, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/594,646		ZHANG, HAI	
		Art Unit	
CHUONG NGO		2617	

Part of Paper No. 20101216

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed 19 October 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. led with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response cont the mail date of the last Office communic	tinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appr is required to submit an appeal brief in at brief will be reset to be one month from n running from the receipt of the notice of a	sals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been eal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant coordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal nailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
∑ The panel has determined the s Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 8.13.20. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-6.9-12.14-1 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	17, 21-2 <u>5</u> .
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conferer action will be mailed. No further action is	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office srequired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) NICK CORSARO (SPE).	(3) CHUONG NGO (EXAMINER).
(2) GEORGE ENG (SPE).	(4)
/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	